The kinetics of propene and hexene polymerisation with [(SBI)ZrR]+X-: evidence for monomer-dependent early or late transition states[†]

Fuquan Song, Roderick D. Cannon and Manfred Bochmann*

Wolfson Materials and Catalysis Centre, School of Chemical Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK NR4 7TJ. E-mail: m.bochmann@uea.ac.uk

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 18th November 2003, Accepted 8th January 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 5th February 2004

Whereas the rates of propene polymerisation catalysed by zirconocene ion pairs are strongly anion-dependent, hexene polymerisations are not; the findings demonstrate the existence of very different kinetic regimes for two closely related reactions.

Various kinetic techniques have recently furnished unprecedented insight into the mechanistic details of the polymerisation of 1-alkenes catalyzed by metallocenes.^{1–3} In particular, the importance of the "non-coordinating" counteranion X in [L₂ZrR⁺···X⁻] ion pair catalysts has been emphasised.^{4–9} Some of these systems are among the most active propene polymerisation catalysts known; *e.g.* (SBI)ZrMe₂/CPh₃[B(C₆F₅₎₄] in the presence of TIBA affords propagation rates $k_p^{obs} \approx 10^3-10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (SBI = *rac*-Me₂Si(1-Ind)₂, TIBA = Al³Bu₃) under very mild conditions (25 °C, 1 bar).^{9*a*} On the other hand, elegant work by Landis using the (EBI)ZrMe₂/B(C₆F₅₎₃ catalyst suggested that the polymerisations of 1-hexene and propene proceed with very similar rates, with propene, as the less hindered monomer, reacting only about three times faster than 1-hexene (EBI = *rac*-C₂H₄(1-Ind)₂).^{10,11} These results prompted us to re-examine our propene polymerisation data in comparison with those for hexene.

Previous kinetic experiments had been conducted using the system (SBI)ZrMe₂/TIBA/CPh₃[CN{ $B(C_6F_5)_3$ }_2] (1:100:1).² Although this system is extremely active with excellent reproducibility, it is complicated by the nature of the reaction products between the zirconocene alkyl and TIBA and the uncertain structure of the *in-situ* generated catalyst. We therefore wished to develop a system that allowed us to study polymerisation kinetics in the absence of TIBA, under well-controlled conditions.

The complexes (SBI)Zr(CH₂SiMe₃)X provide such a system [X = MeB(C₆F₅)₃, B(C₆F₅)₄].[‡] They are readily accessible from the mixed alkyl compound **2** which reacts with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ or CPh₃[B(C₆F₅)₄] to give **3** and **4**, respectively (Scheme 1). The only by-product observed was a trace of SiMe₄ in the case of **4**. Both compounds are stable at room temperature; toluene solutions of **4** containing 10 vol% 1,2-difluorobenzene showed no change or deterioration over a period of days. Propene polymerisations with catalyst **3** were conducted in toluene at 20 °C under 1 bar propene



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: (i) MeMgCl, toluene–THF; (ii) room temperature; (iii) 1 equiv. $B(C_6F_5)_3$, toluene- d_8 ; (iv) $[CPh_3][B(C_6F_5)_4]$, toluene- d_8 –1,2- $C_6H_4F_2$ (9:1).

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental results and rate calculations. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/ b314845a/ $([C_3H_6] = 0.71 \text{ mol } L^{-1})$ and follow the established rate law.^{1,2} Since this system does not contain a scavenger, partial catalyst deactivation by background impurities must be taken into account. A plot of polymer mass as a function of [Zr] is linear but does not pass through the origin; the intercept indicates that of the 10 µmol **3** added initially, 6.6 µmol have been deactivated. This difference has been taken into account in calculating the propagation rate constant, k_p (**3**-P) = 20 L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹.¹² Kinetic data are summarised in Table 1.

Hexene polymerisations with **3** show slightly less catalyst deactivation, 3.4 µmol. The data give a rate constant of $k_p(3-H) = 4.8 \text{ L} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ which confirms that for the zwitterionic catalyst **3** the rate of polymerisation shows little dependence on the bulkiness of the monomer, with propene polymerisation proceeding *ca*. four times faster than hexene, in agreement with the results of Sillars and Landis.¹⁰

A rather different situation is, however, found for the ion pair 4. Under scavenger-free conditions propene polymerisations with this system proceed 60–70 times faster than with 3. By contrast, 4 polymerises hexene at about the same rate as catalyst 3, k_p (4-H) = 15 L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹.

There are therefore two distinct kinetic scenarios: *hexene* polymerisation, at least with the pair of catalysts under investigation here, is *not* significantly influenced by the nature of the anion



Scheme 2 Qualitative reaction pathways for the insertion of propene (A) and 1-hexene (B) into $[(SBI)ZrR^+\cdots B(C_6F_5)_4^-]$ ion pairs.

Table 1 Kinetic parameters of propene and hexene polymerisations with (SBI)Zr(CH₂SiMe₃)X^a

Х	Monomer	$k_{\rm p}/L \ {\rm mol}^{-1} \ {\rm s}^{-1}$
$[MeB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ $[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$ $[MeB(C_F_5)_4]^-$	Propene Propene	20 ± 1 1360 ± 12 4.8 ± 0.1
$[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$	1-Hexene	4.0 ± 0.1 15 ± 1

 a [Zr] = [B] = 1 \times 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹, 100 mL toluene, 20 °C, [C₃H₆]₀ = 0.71 mol L⁻¹; [C₆H₁₂]₀ = 0.8 mol L⁻¹.

10.1039/b314845a

Ö

and proceeds with about equal rates whether the anion is more strongly coordinating, as in the case of MeB(C₆F₅)₃⁻, or not. The rate of *propene* polymerisation, on the other hand, is highly sensitive to anion structure and greatly accelerated by reducing anion nucleophilicity. We believe these findings point to differences in the transition states. For propene, transfer of the polymeryl chain to the monomer is fast since both these ligands are sterically comparatively undemanding, and, for MeB(C₆F₅)₃⁻ at least, anion displacement is therefore likely to be the rate limiting step (Scheme 2(A)). This is slow for X = MeB(C₆F₅)₃⁻ but much accelerated for B(C₆F₅)₄^{-,13} For the more bulky 1-hexene, on the other hand, chain transfer is a slower process which benefits little from faster anion displacement (Scheme 2(B)).

Therefore, while catalysts for small monomers such as ethene and propene are enhanced by anion engineering, hexene polymerisation catalysts may be primarily improved by modifying the ligand structure. It remains to be seen if these conclusions also hold in the case of more open half-sandwich catalysts.

This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council.

Notes and references

‡ 1: To a suspension of (SBI)ZrCl₂ (1.1 g, 2.5 mmol) in 50 mL of toluene at 0 °C was added ClMgCH₂SiMe₃ (1.63 mL, 1.5 M in diethyl ether). After stirring for 10 h at room temperature and filtration, 1 was isolated as orange crystals. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, 25 °C, CDCl₃): δ 6.6–7.5 (10 H, Ind-C₆), 6.05 (d, 1H, *J* 3.3 Hz, Ind), 5.72 (d, 1H, *J* 3.1 Hz, Cp H), 0.94 (s, 3H, SiMe₂), 0.84 (s, 3H, SiMe₂), 0.15 (d, 1H, *J* 11.6 Hz, ZrCH₂), -0.30 (s, 9H, SiMe₃), -2.07 (d, 1H, *J* 11.6 Hz, ZrCH₂). ¹³C NMR (DCCl₃): δ 133–86 (Ind), 58.2 (ZrCH₂), 2.4 (SiMe₃), -0.72 (SiMe₂), -1.77 (SiMe₂).

2: *Method 1*: To a solution of (SBI)Zr(Cl)CH₂SiMe₃ from the above reaction in 50 mL of toluene was added MeMgCl (3.0 mmol, 1 mL, 3 M in THF) at room temperature. After 1 h, **2** was isolated from the filtrate as an orange solid which was recrystallised in light petroleum (bp 40–60 °C). *Method 2*: To an orange suspension of (SBI)ZrCl₂ (5.2 g, 11.6 mmol) in 150 mL of toluene at -20 °C was added ClMgCH₂SiMe₃ in diethyl ether (11.7 mmol, 7.8 mL, 1.5 mol L⁻¹). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at -20 °C and for 16 h at room temperature. After filtration, MeMgCl in THF (12.0 mol, 4.0 mL, 3 mol L⁻¹) was added, the mixture was stirred for 10 h, filtered and concentrated to give crystalline **2** (4.1 g, 8.6 mmol, 74.1%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, 25 °C, toluene-d₈): δ 7.2–6.6 (10 H, Ind), 5.65 (d, 1H, *J* 3.3 Hz, Ind-C₅), 0.60 (s, 3H, SiMe₂), 0.57 (s, 3H, SiMe₂), 0.05 (s, 9H, SiMe₃), -0.22 (d, 1H, *J* 1.4 Hz, ZrCH₂), -1.09 (s, 3H, ZrMe), -2.15 (d, 1H, *J* 1.4 Hz, ZrCH₂).

3: (SBI)Zr(Me)CH₂SiMe₃ (5.0 mg, 10.0 μ mol) and B(C₆F₅)₃ (5.2 mg, 10.0 μ mol) were loaded into a 5 mm NMR tube and dissolved in 0.6 mL of toluene-*d*₈. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, 20 °C, toluene-*d*₈): δ 7.5–5.6 (Ind), 0.59 (br, 3H, SiMe₂), 0.47 (br, 3H, SiMe₂), -0.09 (s, SiMe₃) -0.17 (d, 1H, *J*

10.2 Hz, ZrCH₂), -0.20 (br, 3H, μ -Me), -0.72 (d, 1H, J 10.2 Hz, ZrCH₂).

4: Deuterated toluene was dried by stirring over Na/K alloy followed by trap-to-trap distillation. 1,2- $F_2C_6H_4$ was dried over 4Å molecular sieve. (SBI)Zr(Me)CH₂SiMe₃ (5 mg, 10 µmol) and [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₃)₄] (9.3 mg, 10 µmol) were loaded into a 5 mm NMR tube and dissolved in 0.6 mL of C₇D₈ containing 10 vol% of 1,2- $F_2C_6H_4$. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, 20 °C, toluene-d₈– $F_2C_6H_4$): δ 7.5–5.0 (Ind), 2.59 (d, 1H, J 12.3 Hz, ZrCH₂), 1.98 (s, 3H, Ph₃CMe), 0.72 (s, 6H, SiMe₂), -0.22 (d, 1H, J 12.3 Hz, ZrCH₂), -0.61 (s, 9H, SiMe₃). A trace of SiMe₄ was also observed.

- (a) Z. Liu, E. Somsook and C. R. Landis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 2915; (b) Z. Liu, E. Somsook, C. B. White, K. A. Rosaaen and C. R. Landis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 11193; (c) C. R. Landis, K. A. Rosaaen and J. Uddin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 12062; (d) C. R. Landis, K. A. Rosaaen and D. R. Sillars, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 1710
- 2 F. Song, R. D. Cannon and M. Bochmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 7641.
- 3 For earlier kinetic studies of metallocene catalyzed alkene polymerisations, see: (a) D. Schnell and G. Fink, Angew. Makromol. Chem., 1974, 39, 131; (b) G. Fink and W. Zoller, Makromol. Chem., 1981, 182, 3265; (c) G. Fink and D. Schnell, Angew. Makromol. Chem., 1982, 105, 31; (d) R. Mynott, G. Fink and W. Fenzl, Angew. Makromol. Chem., 1987, 154, 1; (e) G. Fink, W. Fenzl and R. Mynott, Z. Naturforsch., Teil. B, 1985, 40, 158.
- 4 (a) E. Y. X. Chen and T. J. Marks, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 1391; (b) M. Bochmann, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 1996, 255; (c) M. Bochmann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1992, **31**, 1181.
- 5 (a) S. Beck, A. Geyer and H. H. Brintzinger, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 2477; (b) S. Beck, S. Lieber, F. Schaper, A. Geyer and H. H. Brintzinger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 1483.
- 6 N. Herfert and G. Fink, Makromol. Chem., 1992, 193, 773.
- 7 (a) V. Busico, R. Cipullo, F. Cutillo, M. Vacatello and V. Van Axel-Castelli, *Macromolecules*, 2003, **36**, 4258; (b) V. Busico, V. van Axel-Castelli, P. Aprea, R. Cipullo, A. Segre, G. Talarico and M. Vacatello, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 5451.
- 8 M. Mohammed, M. Nele, A. Al-Humydi, S. Xin, R. Stapleton and S. Collins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 7930.
- 9 (a) J. Zhou, S. J. Lancaster, D. A. Walker, S. Beck, M. Thornton-Pett and M. Bochmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, **123**, 223; (b) S. J. Lancaster, A. Rodriguez, A. Lara-Sanchez, M. D. Hannant, D. A. Walker, D. L. Hughes and M. Bochmann, Organometallics, 2002, **21**, 451–453.
- 10 D. R. Sillars and C. R. Landis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 9894.
- 11 $k_{\rm p}$ (hexene, 20 °C) $\approx 6.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (ref. 1*b*).
- 12 This value is in excellent agreement with the k_p value of 10 L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ obtained by Sillars and Landis by extrapolation to 20 °C (ref. 10).
- 13 Although, strictly speaking, for the $B(C_6F_5)_4^-$ anion our data here do not allow us to distinguish whether anion displacement or alkyl chain migration is rate-limiting, the observation of strong anion effects on rates in propene polymerisations (ref. 9*a*) supports the assumption of an early transition state in our view.